

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

\* \* \*

Martell B. Bland,

Plaintiff,

v.

Core Civic Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00886-JAD-DJA

**Report & Recommendation**

After the Court denied his application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for being incomplete, the Court gave Plaintiff until July 29, 2022, to file a complete application or pay the filing fee. (ECF No. 4). That deadline has passed. In ordering Plaintiff to file a complete application, the Court explained that “failure to timely comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed. (*Id.*). To date, the Court has not received a complete application.

Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED**.

**NOTICE**

This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation may file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may waive the right to appeal the district court’s order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: August 26, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE